

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,331	07/19/2000	Hideto Horikoshi	JP9-1999-0035	1158
7590 07/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Anne Vachon Dougherty Esq 3173 Cedar Road			GURSHMAN, GRIGORY	
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>g</i> -	,		2132	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

}	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/619,331	HORIKOSHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Grigory Gurshman	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the period for reply will be period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the main than the period for the p	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M rute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	June 2005.				
<i>;</i>	,—				
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	г <i>Ех рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) he drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050617			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 21 and 22 have been amended to reflect "detect enable bit" and "device history bit". The amendment of the instant claims has necessitated the new grounds of rejection provided herein. Accordingly, Applicant's arguments based on the claims as currently amended are mute in view of the new grounds of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitations "c" and "d" of the instant claims are contradicting in terms, rendering claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Art Unit: 2132

4. Claims 1- 6, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (U.S. Patent No. 6.038.320) in view of Kou (U.S. Patent No. 5.590.376).

Page 3

- 5. Referring to the instant claims, Miller discloses a computer security key (see abstract). Miller teaches a computer security key provides security to a computer, which includes a computer bus. The computer is programmed to function with the security key. The security key includes a connector that is adapted to be coupled to the computer bus, a controller coupled to the connector, and a storage device coupled to the controller. The connector must be coupled to the computer bus for the computer to be operational. In addition, a unique key code is stored in the security key and the computer. The key code stored in the security key must match the key code stored in the computer for the computer to be operational. Further, an encrypted password is stored in the security key where it is encrypted by the security key. The encrypted password stored in the security key must match the encrypted password entered into the computer for the computer to be operational (see abstract and Fig. 2).
- 6. Referring to the independent claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 21 and 22, the limitation "storing setting data ... for establishing the computer settings of how to proceed with the processing relative to the attachment of the security device to the computer in ... a storage unit of the computer" is met by a unique key code stored in the computer (see abstract and block 18 in Fig. 2). The limitation "detecting the attachment of the security device to the computer" is met by comparing the code stored in the security key with the

Art Unit: 2132

key code stored in the computer (see abstract and block 84 in Fig. 5). The limitation "storing the attachment data ... indicating the detection in a second storage unit equipped in the computer" is met by teaching that the key code received from the key 40 is compared to the key code stored in the BIOS flash 24 instead of in main memory (see column 6, lines 5-7). The limitation "detecting a removal of the security device from the computer based on the setting data; and prohibiting access to the computer" is met by Fig.7. In Fig. 7 Miller explicitly shows that operation system detects the removal of USB security key. Once the removal is detected the computer is put out of operational mode (see block 380). While Miller teaches that the key code received from the key 40 is compared to the key code stored, he does not explicitly teach that computer settings comprising a detect enable bit.

7. Referring to the instant claims Kou, discloses an apparatus and method for detecting and updating status of removable storage system using shared interrupt (see abstract and Figs. 1A – 1B). Kou teaches a system and method for detecting the removal of a removable storage medium from a removable storage media mechanism of a computer environment having set protocols and for obtaining parameter information of a newly inserted removable storage medium in an efficient and flexible manner. Specifically, embodiments of the present invention contemplate that parameter information is obtained only subsequent to detection of the removal of a removable storage medium (see abstract). Kou teaches that the IRQ bit of detection register 622 is set and the IRQ 14 generator 614 is induced to generate hardware interrupt IRQ 14 (see Figs. 6 and 9A). The limitations "a detect enable bit" and 'history bit" are met by

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Art Unit: 2132

IRQ bit. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the computer security system of Miller, which detects the removal of the security device, by using the information contained in the IRQ bit (detect enable bit) as taught in Kou. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the computer security system of Miller, which detects the removal of the security device, by using the information contained in the IRQ bit (detect enable bit) as taught in Kou for indication of the hardware interrupt (see Kou, see Fig. 9A block 908).

Page 5

- 8. Referring to claims 3 5, the limitation "connecting the connection device of an internal power wiring equipment" is met by a hub (30 in Fig.2). The limitation "prohibiting access to the computer by the disconnection" is met by Fig. 6. In Fig. 6, Miller explicitly shows disconnection causes computer to go into a sleep mode (see unit 160).
- 9. Referring to claim 2, Miller teaches the limitation "entering a predetermined password" see Fig. 8.
- 10. Referring to claims 5 and 6, it is a well known in the art method to store data while main power supply of the computer is as at a halt and a backup power supply is operating. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to store data while main power supply of the computer is as at a halt and a backup power supply is operating for eliminating the possibility of loss of data due to the computer being at halt.

Art Unit: 2132

- 11. Claims 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (U.S. Patent No. 6.038.320) in view of Kou (U.S. Patent No. 5.590.376) and further in view of Isaacman (U.S. Patent No. 5.936.527).
- 12. Referring to the instant claims Miller discloses a computer security key (see abstract). Miller teaches a computer security key provides security to a computer, which includes a computer bus. The computer is programmed to function with the security key. A unique key code is stored in the security key and the computer. The key code stored in the security key must match the key code stored in the computer for the computer to be operational (see abstract). Referring to the instant claims, Kou teaches that the IRQ bit of detection register 622 is set and the IRQ 14 generator 614 is induced to generate hardware interrupt IRQ 14 (see Figs. 6 and 9A). The limitation "a detect enable bit" is met by IRQ bit. While Miller teaches the key code stored in the computer and Kou teaches that the key is a detect bit, they do not teach the use of RFID tags for the antenna coupled to the connecting device.
- 13. Referring to the instant claims, Isaacman discloses an apparatus for tracking objects (see abstract). Isaacman teaches that a conventional RFID tag systems will now be described. RFID tag systems generally consist of a personal computer (PC) or other computing device, a radio frequency transmitter which sends an RF signal to the tag and which "excites" the tag into generating an RF response, and a receiver which receives the excited response from the tag (see column 3, lines 8-14). Isaacman shows a PC connected to the Host Transceiver (i.e. RF antenna), which tracks the objects according their RFID tags (see Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2132

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a security device attached to a computer of Miller using the detect bit of Kou by adding the RF antenna as taught in Isaacman. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify a security device attached to a computer by adding the RF antenna as taught in Isaacman for enabling the system rapidly identify the location of RFID tagged objects (see Isaacman abstract and Fig.3)

14. Referring to claims 13-19, Isaacman teaches analog to digital conversion.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grigory Gurshman whose telephone number is (571)272-3803. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571)272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,331

Art Unit: 2132

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GG

GG

Grigory Gurshman Examiner Art Unit 2132

GILBERTO BARRON JAC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100